

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,626	08/19/2003	David G. Mann	WOOSP0131US	4453	
23908	7590 05/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RENNER O	TTO BOISSELLE & S	SPISICH,	SPISICH, MARK		
1621 EUCLIE	AVENUE				
NINETEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44115			1744		

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,626	MANN, DAVID G.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mark Spisich	1744	

• , ,	Liamine	Ait Offic	l			
	Mark Spisich	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 2,4-9,19 and 23-2 canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	27 would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely	filed amendment			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2,4-9,19 and 23-27. Claim(s) objected to: 15 and 17. Claim(s) rejected: 12-14 and 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidate	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the prior ar applied to claim 12 (Welt and Passafiume) of a rigid frame, as described in the prior office action.	reasonably teaches the claimed su	bject matter, includin				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper.	No(s).				
13. Other:		11111 /	1 4:			
	/	Wark Spisich	w/u			

Mark Spisich
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1744



Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): all prior art rejections with the exception of claims 12-14 and 16.